

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 PARDEEP SINGH,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 WARDEN,

15 Respondent.  
16

No. 2:22-CV-1411-DMC-P

ORDER

17 Petitioner, who is proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of habeas  
18 corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Pending before the Court is Petitioner's motion for the  
19 appointment of counsel, ECF No. 2.

20 There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas  
21 proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C.  
22 § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice  
23 so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does  
24 not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present  
25 time.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1                   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's motion for appointment  
2 of counsel, ECF No. 2, is denied without prejudice to renewal, at the earliest, after a response to  
3 the petition has been filed.

4  
5 Dated: August 18, 2022

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Dennis M. Cota', written over a horizontal line.

DENNIS M. COTA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE